The following message is prepared Viz.

L. H. J.

By the Lower House of Assembly

October the 22d 1724

May it please yo! Honours

Our Proposal of the 20th Instant by Mr Tyler & Mr Bozman was Subjected to your consideracon as a part of the Legislature for your Concurrence as the foundation of a Law only, which we believe might have been found and drawn under Such Proviso's and Restrictions as would not have rendred the Legislative Body of this province Lyable to the Imputation of being either unjust or unreasonable and we are sorry to find your Honors give so Great a Check to the ffreedom of proposals as to Suppose any that are made by this House have been made with such Views where they admit of a more Parliamentary Construction. We nevertheless acknowledge yor Honors Just abhorrence of Sufferring any thing that seems unreasonable or unjust to pass your House, tho' we cannot take the proposal objected [to] to be any Instance of it. And we declare the like abhorrence, and hope both houses of Assembly in this concurr unanimously. But as your Honours propose only the abateing the allowances for attending this Sessions, It would be too unconsiderable a Relief to be worth the notice of this Legislature, and be Cheifly a Relief to such psons whose Circumstances enable them much better to pay than we to Abate the Charge of our attendance.

Sign'd p order M. Jenifer Cl Lo: Ho:

Which was sent to the Upper House by M^r Gant & Capt. Harrison

They return and say they Delivered it.

An Engrost Bill for the relief of William Hutchinson's Devisees was read and assented to and was Sent to the Upper house by M^r Tyler & M^r Crabb.

They return and say they delivered it.

Col^o Holland and Col^o Young from the Upper House delivers M^r Speaker the following message Viz.

By the Upper House of Assembly October the 22^d 1724 Gent.

In the Close of your message of the 10th Instant by Col^o Mackall and five others you recommended to us such Draught of an oath for the Judge of the Provinciall Court as should be laid before us by M^r Attorney Generall, as more agreeable to our Constitution, than the oath now in use by reason of some defects found in them, which as you conceived pro-